

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAM		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/648,041 08/26/2003		Timothy Baker	0212.67614	2428	
24978	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
-	RNS & CRAIN	WILSON, LEE D			
300 S WACK 25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/648,0	41	BAKER, TIMOTHY				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		LEE D. V	/ILSON	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ارت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	Glosed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 400 C.C. 210.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 17-22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-11, and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
· 7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	r(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) [_] Other:								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/648,041

**Art Unit: 3723** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kopras (6048260) in view of Keith jr et al (5607343).
  - a. Kopras discloses an attachment having a housing (36), a mounting collar(42) with slots (44) .
  - b. Kopras does not disclose a thin annular cylindrical layer between the housing and the nose.
  - c. Keith et al disclose an attachment having a disclose a thin annular cylindrical layer (70) between the housing and the nose which is used to reduce friction between the two parts.
  - d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Kopras device by providing a thin annular cylindrical layer as taught by Keith et al which is used to reduce friction between the two parts.
  - e. The modified Kopras discloses the claimed invention except for a range of .6mm to .2mm of layer thickness. It would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/648,041 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a range of .6mm to 2.mm for the layer thickness, since it has been held that where general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233*.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2 and 17-22 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 12-13 and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant feels that the claim 1 reads over the prior art.
  - f. The prior art still reads on claim 1 because the claim language was not ignored it was just felt to be broad. An o-ring is a thin annular cylindrical layer so this means unless the applicant draws additional limitations the prior art reads on the claim. A difference in view point should not be seen as ignore claim limitations especially since this the limitation in which the 103 is being modified to address.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,041

Art Unit: 3723

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,041

**Art Unit: 3723** 

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 22, 2005

U LEE D. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER